To: Distribution

From: T. H. Van Vleck

Date: July 24, 1975

Subject: Handling Subroutine Errors

INTRODUCTION

Multics conventions currently forbid subroutines which may be called by many different programs from performing output unless that is their primary purpose. The reason for this rule is the "principle of transparency," which requires that the subroutine be usable in environments which do not have standard I/O attachments, and in environments which wish to use the subroutine without obtaining any output. In particular, subroutines are currently forbidden to use com_err_ to report status. The standard method for reporting status is to supply an additional argument to the subroutine which will be set to zero or to a standard status code by the subroutine.

The caller of such a subroutine must have some knowledge of the cases in which status codes are returned. Often, the calling program has the choice of including a series of tests for each of the possible statess recognized by the subroutine, or of simply assuming that any nonzero status code indicates that the routine failed. When a status code is returned, the calling program often wishes to produce a message describing the situation. But in some cases, the subroutine can recognize so many different situations that the calling program will be unable to produce a helpful message without additional communication between the calling program and the subroutine. This problem was encountered in the design of delete_, when deleting a directory. If a directory contains items which cannot be deleted, there is currently no clean way to inform the caller of delete_ of the pathname of the item.

Subroutines which can detect multiple errors (such as compilers) have an even more difficult problem. The returning of a status code is suited only to the detection of single errors. Requiring the calling program to allocate storage for a usually null array of status indicators or status messages seems uneconomical; and saving the messages in storage allocated by the subroutine encounters other problems if multiple invocations of the routine may exist in the same process.

When we think of small subroutines, like square root programs, these problems can be ignored or papered over. But when large subsystems are used as subroutines of user application programs, the need for a mechanism which allows subroutines and subsystems to report status in detail, while still allowing the calling environment control over the actual output and the content of the message, becomes more and more important.

PROPOSAL

To accomplish these goals, a new subroutine is proposed, called sub_err_, which will be used by subroutines in much the same way that com_err_ is used by commands. Draft MPM SWG documentation is attached. (The "sub" can be considered to be a contraction of either "subroutine" or "subsystem.") A call to the subroutine might look like this:

```
call sub_err_ (code, "sort", info, "c", retval,
     "Input record ^d ignored.", record_no);
```

When sub_err_ is called, the format string is assembled in the same way that ioa_ does it, a structure is filled in, and the condition

sub_error_

is signalled. Unlike the call to com_err_, however, sub_err_ does not print the message on return from the signal; it assumes that the environment has disposed of the message.

The default_error_handler_ for standard Multics processes will in fact currently print out such a message. However, the format of the message currently produced should be improved slightly, so that it looks something like this:

```
name error by callername ! location..
Com_err string. Ioa_ formatted string.
```

(The message returned by com_err_ for small integer codes should also be changed from "Code 1 not found in error_table_" to just "Code 1".) For example, the call above might produce the message

```
sort error by sort_!1234 (bound_sort_!5677)
Record too short. Input record 334 ignored.
```

The sort routine could use sub_err_ as a way of printing a message; or, by adding code which tested the value of retval, it could allow the user the chance to intercept the error and specify, for example, that the record be padded out with blanks.

The use of the "retval" argument is to allow environments which wish to intercept the sub_error_ condition and specify alternative action to the subroutine. The standard environment will set retval to zero. It might be possible to propose a future extension to the start command so that the command

start -return 7

would locate the condition information structure, set retval to 7, and return to signal_.

The introduction of the sub_error_ condition is in fact a concealed incompatible change for those users who have their own default error handlers, since it now becomes a requirement that the handler for sub_error_ understand the "no_pause" switch and be able to dispose of the output message. The key step is the introduction of a new principle, obverse to the principle of transparency, which is that every process ought to have a handler of last resort.

All subroutines which call sub_err_ should have the fact noted in their documentation, showing the name and code values used in each possible call and the action taken on return with whatever values of retval are allowed.

Programs which have a handler for sub_error_ must check the condition information structure and be prepared to pass signals on if they cannot handle them.

Name: sub_err_

This program is called by subroutines which which wish to report an unexpected situation. The subroutine specifies an identifying message and may specify a status code. Switches which describe whether and how to continue execution and a pointer to further information may also be passed to sub_err_. The environment which invoked the subroutine caller of sub_err_ may intercept and modify the standard system action taken when sub_err_ is called.

Usage:

```
dcl sub_err_ entry options (variable);

call sub_err_ (code, name, flags, infop, retval,
     ctl_string, ioa_args);
```

where

1) code — is a status code describing the reason for calling sub_err_. code should be declared fixed bin (35). (Input)

2) name — is the name of the subsystem or module on whose behalf sub_err_ is called. name should be declared as a nonvarying character string. (Input)

3) flags — describe how and whether restart may be attempted. Flags should be declared as a nonvarying character string. (Input)

   The following values are permitted:

   "c" — continue after printing message.
   "f" — fatal error. No restart allowed.

4) infop — is an optional pointer to information specific to the situation. The standard system environment does not use this pointer, but it is provided for the convenience of other environments. infop should be an aligned pointer. (Input)

5) retval — is a return value from the environment to which the error was reported. The standard system environment sets this value to zero. Other environments may set retval to other values, which may be used to select recovery strategies. retval should be declared fixed bin (35). (Input/Output)

6) ctl_string — is an ioa_ format control string which defines the message associated with the call to sub_err_. Consult the description of ioa_ in AG93. ctl_string should be declared as a nonvarying character string. (Input)

7) ioa_args — are any arguments required for conversion by ctl_string. (Input)

Operation

Sub_err_ proceeds as follows: the structure described below is filled in from the arguments to sub_err_, and the system subroutine signal_ is called to raise the "sub_error_" condition.

When the standard system environment receives a sub_error_ signal, it prints a message of the format

```
name error by subrnamellocation
Status code message. Message from ctl_string.
```

The standard environment then sets retval to zero and returns, if "c" was specified; otherwise it calls the listener. If "start" is typed, the standard environment will return to sub_err_, which will return to the subroutine caller of sub_err_ unless "f" was specified. If "f" was specified, sub_err_ will signal "illegal_return."

Use by Subsystems

If an application program wishes to call a subsystem which may report errors by sub_err_, and wishes to replace the standard system action for some classes of sub_err_ calls, the application should establish a handler for the "sub_error_" condition by a PL/I ON-statement. When the handler is activated as a result of a call to sub_err_ by some dynamic descendant, the handler should call find_condition_info_ to obtain the "software_info_ptr" which will point to a structure with the following declaration.

```
dcl 1 info aligned based (software_info_ptr),
    2 length fixed bin,
    2 version fixed bin,
    2 action_flags aligned,
      3 cant_restart bit (1) unal,
      3 default_restart bit (1) unal,
      3 pad bit (34) unal,
    2 info_string char (256) var,
    2 code fixed bin (35),
```

```
    2 retval fixed bin (35),
    2 name char (32),
    2 infop ptr;
```

where

length	is the size of the structure in words.
version	is the version number of the structure. This is version 2.
cant_restart	is "1"b if the condition cannot be restarted.
default_restart	is "1"b if the standard environment will print the message and continue execution without calling the listener.
pad	is padding
info_string	is the converted message from ctl_string and loa_args.
code	is the status code.
retval	is the return value. The standard environment sets this value to zero.
name	is the name of the module encountering the condition.
infop	is a pointer to additional information associated with the condition.

The handler should check info.name and info.code to make sure that this particular call to sub_err_ is the one desired, and if not call continue_to_signal_. If the handler determines that it wishes to intercept this call to sub_err_, the info structure will provide the message as converted, switches, etc. Any change made to the value of info.retval will be returned to the caller of sub_err_ if control returns to sub_err_.